

PopRatio: A program to calculate atomic level populations in astrophysical plasmas

A.I. Silva^{a,1} and S.M. Viegas^{a,2}

^a*Instituto Astronômico e Geofísico, Universidade de São Paulo, Av. Miguel Stéfano, 4200, 04301-904 São Paulo SP, Brazil*

Abstract

We describe a Fortran 90 program to calculate population ratios of atomic levels. The program solves the equations of statistical equilibrium considering all possible bound-bound processes: spontaneous, collisional or radiation induced (the later either directly or by fluorescence). There is no limit on the number of levels or in the number of processes that may be taken into account. The program may find a wide range of applicability in astronomical problems, such as interpreting fine-structure absorption lines or collisionally excited emission lines (such as coronal emission lines) in the spectra of several objects, and also in calculating the cooling rates due to collisional excitation.

PACS: 95.30.Dr; 98.38.Bn; 98.58.Bz

Key words:

Level populations, statistical equilibrium equations, fine structure lines, collisionally excited lines, coronal lines, cooling rates.

PROGRAM SUMMARY

Title of program: PopRatio

Catalogue identifier:

Program obtainable from: <http://www.iagusp.usp.br/~alexsilv/popratio>

Computer for which the program is designed and others on which it has been tested:

Computer: Intel Pentium Pro 200 MHz PC

¹ E-mail: ignacioalex@yahoo.com .

² E-mail: viegas@iagusp.usp.br .

Installation: Instituto Astronômico e Geofísico, Universidade de São Paulo.

Operating system under which the program has been tested: DOS, Windows 95.

Programming language used: Fortran 90.

Memory required to execute with typical data:
2,055 kbytes

No. of bits in a word: 32

No. of processors used: One

Has the code been vectorised or parallelized?: No

No. of bytes in distributed program, including test data, etc.:
981 058

Distribution format:

Keywords:

Level populations, statistical equilibrium equations, fine structure lines, collisionally excited lines, coronal lines, cooling rates.

Nature of physical problem:

The purpose of this program is to calculate population ratios of atomic levels. The excited level population ratios may be used to infer the physical conditions from observed fine-structure absorption lines or collisionally excited emission lines (such as coronal emission lines). Another possible use is in calculating cooling rates due to collisional excitation of low-lying levels.

Method of Solution:

The necessary atomic data is read from a separate input file. Next, the rates for all the bound-bound processes involved are evaluated and the system of equilibrium equations is solved. Several processes may be taken into account: spontaneous, collisions with an arbitrary number of particles, excitation or stimulated emission induced by radiation fields (either directly or by fluorescence). Built in radiation fields provided are: a black body (such as the cosmic microwave background radiation), the UV radiation field of the Galaxy, the UV background of all QSOs and the hot halo model radiation field. Moreover, an arbitrary user-defined radiation field may also be included.

Restrictions on the complexity of the problem:

None. The code can handle an arbitrary number of processes and levels. The required memory is dynamically allocated in run time.

Typical running time:

The following table gives the running times (in seconds) of the testcases provided in section 4:

	System library	Distributed libraries
testcase #1	0.06	0.06
testcase #2	0.06	0.10
testcase #3	0.06	0.06
testcase #4	0.05	0.07
testcase #5	0.12	0.14

The listed values correspond to averages over 10 executions on a PC Pentium Pro 200 MHz. The column labelled "System library" gives the running times in case the routines from the MSIMSL library are used. The figures given in the next column correspond to the distributed version of PopRatio, that includes routines from the BLAS, LAPACK and PPPACK libraries. The testcases were run using unoptimized BLAS routines.

LONG WRITE-UP

1 Introduction

In most astrophysical environments, common atoms or ions are found primarily in their ground states, with only a negligible fraction in excited levels. However, if some excitation mechanism is present, then a small or even significant fraction of atoms/ions will be found in their excited states. If the excitation mechanism is not so intense to keep the atoms/ions in LTE conditions, then the amount of atoms/ions found in excited states may be used to infer the physical conditions in their environments.

The fraction of excited atoms/ions may be inferred from the observed spectrum properties. For example, the ratios of excited level populations may be deduced from the column density ratios of fine structure absorption lines seen in absorption clouds towards QSOs or in diffuse clouds towards bright stars in the Galaxy [1]. They may also be inferred from intensity ratios of collisionally excited emission lines (such as coronal emission lines).

However, in order to trace the diagnosis curve giving the fraction of excited atoms/ions as a function of the physical conditions in the medium, one must usually account for several excitation mechanisms [2]. Moreover, some

atoms/ions may have a complex enough electronic structure to require the inclusion of several levels in the calculation, such as iron.

This paper describes a code to calculate the population ratios of excited levels of a given atom or ion accounting for an arbitrary number of levels and excitation mechanisms.

Our code may find a wide range of applicability in astronomical problems, such as inferring the physical conditions from fine-structure absorption line and collisionally excited emission line diagnosis. Another possible use is in the calculation of cooling rates due to collisional excitation. We provide several examples in the testcases described below.

2 Method of Solution

2.1 The equations of statistical equilibrium

In order to calculate the level populations of a given atom or ion, we make two basic assumptions :

- (1) The rates of processes involving ionization stages other than the atom or ion being considered (such as direct photoionization or recombination, charge exchange reactions, collisional ionization, etc.) are slow compared to bound-bound rates.
- (2) All transitions considered are optically thin.

To calculate the population of some level i , we must take into account all possible processes that will (de)populate it:

$$\frac{dn_i}{dt} = \sum (\text{processes that populate}) - \sum (\text{processes that depopulate}), (1)$$

where n_i is the volume density of atoms or ions in level i .

Therefore, in steady state regime the sum over all processes that populate level i will be balanced by the sum over all processes that depopulate level i . Assuming that the two conditions listed above are met, this can be written (see, for instance, Rybicki and Lightman [3]):

$$\sum_j n_j \left(A_{ji} + B_{ji} u_{ji} + \sum_k n^k q_{ji}^k \right) = n_i \sum_j \left(A_{ij} + B_{ij} u_{ij} + \sum_k n^k q_{ij}^k \right), (2)$$

where we have considered all possible bound-bound processes, i.e., spontaneous, radiation-induced and collisionally-induced. The lefthand side of eq. (2) is the sum over all processes that populate level i from the other levels j , whereas the righthand side is the sum over all processes that depopulate level i to levels j .

A_{ij} is the transition probability of spontaneous decay from level i to level j . For $i \leq j$, $A_{ij} = 0$.

B_{ij} are Einstein coefficients, related to the transition probabilities by:

$$\begin{aligned} B_{ij} &= \frac{1}{8\pi h} \frac{A_{ij}}{(E_i - E_j)^3} \\ B_{ji} &= \frac{g_i}{g_j} B_{ij}, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

for $i > j$, and $B_{ii} = 0$; h is Plank's constant, E_i is the energy of level i (expressed in cm^{-1}) and g_i is the statistical weight of level i .

u_{ij} is the spectral energy density of the radiation field integrated along the line profile ϕ_ν of the transition from level i to level j :

$$u_{ij} = u_{ji} = \int u_\nu \phi_\nu d\nu \simeq \int u_\nu \delta(\nu - \nu_{ij}) d\nu = u_\nu(\nu_{ij}), \quad (4)$$

with $u_{ii} = 0$; ν_{ij} is the frequency of the transition and we have assumed that the radiation field does not vary significantly along the line profile.

In eq. (2) we have also considered the effect of collisions; n^k is the volume density of the particle inducing the transition, the main collision partners usually being $k = e^-, p^+, H^0, He^0, H_2, \dots$, depending whether the medium is primarily ionized or neutral.

q_{ij}^k is the collision rate for the transition from level i to level j induced by the collision partner k . These coefficients are the cross-sections for the related process σ_{ij} convolved with a Maxwellian distribution of velocities $f(v)$, making these quantities suitable for astrophysical applications (see, for instance, Osterbrock [4]):

$$q_{ij} = \langle v\sigma \rangle = \int v \sigma(v) f(v) dv = \frac{1}{(kT)^2} \sqrt{\frac{8kT}{\pi\mu}} \int_0^\infty \sigma_{ij}(\epsilon) \epsilon e^{-\frac{\epsilon}{kT}} d\epsilon, \quad (5)$$

for the deexcitation rates ($i > j$); k is Boltzmann's constant, T is the kinetic temperature, μ is the reduced mass of the system and ϵ is the collision partner's

kinetic energy.

Excitation and deexcitation rates are related by the principle of detailed balance:

$$q_{ij} = \frac{g_j}{g_i} e^{-\frac{E_j - E_i}{kT}} q_{ji}, \quad (6)$$

with $q_{ii} = 0$. When the interaction is coulombian, as in collisions with electrons, it is convenient to express the cross-section in terms of the *collision strength* Ω_{ij} , defined by:

$$\sigma_{ij}(\epsilon) = \frac{h^2}{8\pi m \epsilon} \frac{\Omega(\epsilon)}{g_i}, \quad (7)$$

where m is the electron's mass. Substituting this in eq. (5) yields:

$$q_{ij}^{e^-} = \frac{h^2}{m g_i} \sqrt{\frac{1}{8\pi^3 \mu kT}} \int_0^\infty \Omega_{ij}(\epsilon) e^{-\frac{\epsilon}{kT}} d\left(\frac{\epsilon}{kT}\right) = \frac{8.629 \cdot 10^{-6}}{\sqrt{T}} \frac{\gamma_{ij}}{g_i}, \quad (8)$$

with T expressed in K and γ_{ij} is defined by the integral in eq. (8) and is called *Maxwellian-averaged collision strength*. Typically γ_{ij} is a slowly varying function of T , of order unity. However, for neutral atoms it may vary for several orders of magnitude.

These are the basic parameters needed to solve eq. (2). If we consider our model ion to be composed of n levels, then we must solve a linear system of $n - 1$ equations in order to calculate the relative population ratios.

2.2 Fluorescence

Sometimes we have the situation in which the atom or ion is in one of its first m lower-lying levels and then it is photoexcited to some higher-lying level λ (e.g., by an UV radiation field). Next it decays - either spontaneously or by stimulated emission - back to some different level among the first m levels. We call this process *fluorescence*.

In this case it is easy to eliminate the level λ from the linear system of equations (2), reducing its order by one.

Initially, for notation purposes let us define:

$$K_{ij} \equiv B_{ij} u_{ij} \quad (9)$$

The statistical equilibrium equation (2) for some level l belonging to the first m lower-lying levels is:

$$n_\lambda (A_{\lambda l} + K_{\lambda l}) + \cdots = n_l K_{l\lambda} + \cdots, \quad (10)$$

where we have written only the terms involving the level λ . Whereas the equation for level λ will be:

$$\sum_{i=1}^m n_i K_{i\lambda} = n_\lambda \sum_{i=1}^m (A_{\lambda i} + K_{\lambda i}). \quad (11)$$

Solving for n_λ in eq. (11), substituting in eq. (10) and introducing the *indirect excitation rate* from level i to level j through level λ as:

$$\Gamma_{ij}^\lambda \equiv K_{i\lambda} \frac{A_{\lambda j} + K_{\lambda j}}{\sum_{g=1}^m (A_{\lambda g} + K_{\lambda g})}, \quad (12)$$

with $\Gamma_{ii}^\lambda = 0$; we can now rewrite eq. (10) as,

$$\sum_{j=1}^m n_j \Gamma_{jl}^\lambda + \cdots = n_l \sum_{j=1}^m \Gamma_{lj}^\lambda + \cdots. \quad (13)$$

And we have eliminated the equation involving n_λ . Extending this reasoning to eliminate a whole set of μ higher-lying levels is straightforward. One simply replaces the indirect excitation rates in eq. (13) by the corresponding *total indirect excitation rates*:

$$\Gamma_{ij} \equiv \sum_{\mu} \Gamma_{ij}^{\mu}. \quad (14)$$

2.3 Solving the system of statistical equilibrium equations

Let us now proceed to set the system of equations to calculate the relative population ratios.

For notation purposes, let us define:

$$Q_{ij} \equiv A_{ij} + K_{ij} + \Gamma_{ij} + \sum_k n^k q_{ij}^k, \quad (15)$$

so that eq. (2) reads (taking into account the possible effect of fluorescence):

$$\sum_j n_j Q_{ji} = n_i \sum_j Q_{ij}, \quad (16)$$

or equivalently in matrix notation:

$$\begin{aligned}
P \cdot R &= O \\
P_{ii} &= - \sum_j Q_{ij} \\
P_{ij} &= Q_{ji} \quad (i \neq j) \\
R_i &= n_i \\
O_{ij} &= 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

After rewriting the system to have the solution expressed in terms of the populations relative to the ground level n_1 we are left with:

$$\begin{aligned}
M \cdot X &= I \\
X_i &= \frac{n_{i+1}}{n_1} \\
I_i &= -P_{i+1,1} = -Q_{1,i+1} \\
M_{ij} = P_{i+1,j+1} &= \begin{cases} Q_{j+1,i+1}, & \text{for } i \neq j; \\ -\sum_j Q_{i+1,j}, & \text{for } i = j. \end{cases}
\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

Eq. (18) is the linear system of equations that is solved by PopRatio.

3 The package PopRatio

3.1 The structure of PopRatio

PopRatio is divided in four modules: PRECISION, PHYSMATH, FIELDINTENSITIES and POPRATIO. Next, we describe each one in greater detail.

3.1.1 PRECISION

This module defines single/double precision kind parameters and sets the accuracy to which the floating point operations are to be done throughout the entire package. The user may change the precision of floating point operations by simply editing the WP parameter:

integer, parameter :: WP = DP ! working precision definition

The default value is set to correspond to the obsolescent Fortran 77's **double precision** data type.

3.1.2 *PHYSMATH*

This module contains definitions of π and some physical constants, as well as some mathematical routines.

The mathematical routines are:

- SWAP: subroutine that swaps any two integer numbers;
- POL: function that evaluates a polynomial at a given point,
- LINTERPOL: function that interpolates linearly a set of points.

3.1.3 *FIELDINTENSITIES*

This module contains routines that give the spectral energy densities (in $\text{erg/cm}^3/\text{Hz}$) of some particular radiation fields taken from the literature. The routines take as input the wavelength in $\text{\AA}$ at which the field is to be evaluated (as well as some additional parameters required by each particular model) and interpolates linearly the table of points using routine LINTERPOL.

The radiation fields currently available are:

- FGAL: this function returns the radiation field of the Galaxy, as given by Gondhalekar et al. [5];
- FUVB: this function returns the UV background radiation field of all QSOs, as given by Madau et al. [6]. It also takes as input the redshift at which the radiation field is to be evaluated Z and a flag MODEL. MODEL=1 corresponds to a cosmological model with $q_0 = 0$, MODEL=2 to one with $q_0 = 0.5$ and MODEL=3 corresponds to $q_0 = 0.5$ as revised by [6],
- FHOTHALO: this function returns the radiation field of the hot halo model proposed by Viegas and Friaça [7] to explain the source of ionization of Lyman Limit QSO absorption line systems. It takes as input two flags: R and T. R is the distance from the center of the galaxy at which the radiation field is to be evaluated, R=1 corresponds to 10 kpc, R=2 to 30 kpc and R=3 to 100 kpc. T is the age of the galaxy, T=1 corresponds to 0.206 Gyr and T=2 to 0.3644 Gyr.

3.1.4 *POPRATIO*

This is the main module that actually computes the rate coefficients for the processes described in section 2 (after reading the related atomic data from

Table 1

Correspondence between global variables in module POPRATIO and mathematical quantities.

Variable	Mathematical quantity
E(i)	E_i
g(i)	g_i
A(i,j)	A_{ij}
B(i,j)	B_{ij}
u(i,j)	u_{ij}
CD(k)%q(i,j)	q_{ij}^k
gam(i,j)	Γ_{ij}
matrix(i,j)	M_{ij}
indep(i)	I_i
X(i)	X_i

a separate input file) and then solves the system of equations of statistical equilibrium.

It begins defining a few useful constants to the evaluation of the rate coefficients and three new types:

- TABLE: this type is a table of points, Y vs. X;
- TCOLLISIONALDATA: this type encloses all necessary information pertaining a certain collisional process, such as the collision partner name, the collision rates, etc.,
- THLEVEL: this type encloses all necessary information pertaining some of the μ higher-lying levels described in section 2.2, such as the energy, statistical weight, transition probabilities, radiative rates, etc.

This module also declares several global variables that are visible to the main program. Table 1 shows the correspondence between most important variables and the mathematical quantities given in section 2.

We also have the following routines:

- SKIP_COMMENTS: subroutine used by INITPOPRATIO to skip the comments from the atomic data input file (see section 3.2 below);
- INITPOPRATIO: subroutine that reads in the atomic data values stored in the input file. It takes as input a unit number for the input file (defined via

an **open** statement in the main program), the number of levels NBLEVELS to be taken into account in the calculation, and wavelength bounds to the radiation field intensities, LAMBDAL and LAMBDALF. The last two arguments are optional parameters, and if supplied by the user INITPOPRTIO will not load in any upper level connected to the ground term by multiplets whose wavelengths lie outside of this range (the default values are 0.0_WP and **huge**(1.0_WP)). INITPOPRTIO does not load into RAM memory all the data stored in the input file, only the necessary space to take into account the desired number of levels is allocated in run time. (See also section 3.2 below);

- **FINISHPOPRTIO**: subroutine that deallocates the dynamical variables allocated by INITPOPRTIO;
- **POPULATIONRATIO**: this is the key subroutine that sets the rates for all the processes and calculates the population ratios. It takes as input the kinetic temperature of the gas T (in K), the redshift Z, a vector N containing the volume densities (in cm^{-3}) of the collision partners entered in the atomic data input file and two optional parameters: BETA and F.

The redshift is used to set the temperature of the Cosmic Microwave Background Radiation (CMBR), as given by the following relation predicted by the standard Big Bang cosmology (see, for instance, Kolb and Turner [8]):

$$T = T_0 (1 + z), \quad (19)$$

where $T_0 = 2.728 \pm 0.002 \text{ K}$ is the current value of the CMBR temperature [9,10]. The effect of the CMBR blackbody radiation field is then included in the energy densities:

$$u_{ij} = \rho(\nu_{ij}, T) = \frac{8\pi h}{c^3} \frac{\nu_{ij}^3}{e^{\frac{h\nu_{ij}}{kT}} - 1}, \quad (20)$$

where c is the speed of light. Alternatively, there are models that generalize the standard temperature law of the CMBR (19) by introducing a free parameter β [11]:

$$T = T_0 (1 + z)^{1-\beta}, \quad (21)$$

where β is within the range $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$. If this parameter is not entered via the optional parameter BETA, it is assumed zero. POPULATIONRATIO calls function TCMBR to evaluate the temperature of the CMBR, and next function PLANCK to evaluate its contribution to the energy densities. If the user does not wish to take the CMBR into account, the redshift Z should be entered with the value -1.0_WP.

POPULATIONRATIO also adds to the energy densities the contribution from a radiation field defined by the user in function URAD. The optional parameter F multiplies the radiation field defined in URAD by a constant factor f . If F is not entered, it is assumed 1.

- LTEDEV: this function returns the module of the largest relative deviation of the population of the lowest NL levels from the population expected from LTE conditions characterized by a temperature T_LTE (in K);
- URAD: this function returns the spectral energy density of a user-defined radiation field. The user may create his/her own subroutine and then call it in the body of this function.
- PLANCK: this function returns the spectral energy density of a blackbody radiation field (20). It first checks whether the argument of the exponential does not exceed the natural logarithm of the largest number in the machine representation in order to avoid overflow (the spectral energy density is set to zero in case this happens).
- TCMBR: function that returns the temperature of the CMBR (21);
- RATE_EXTRAPOL: this function is called by POPULATIONRATIO whenever the kinetic temperature T lies outside the range allowed by some table of points of collisional rates entered in the atomic data input file (see section 3.2 below). The user must supply a subroutine to extrapolate the table of points and modify this function to call it, otherwise a warning message will be issued telling which particular table of points needs to be extrapolated. An analytical fitting to some transition may be implemented by entering an unphysical temperature range in the input file, so that whatever value of T is entered, POPULATIONRATIO will always call this function that may be used to call another function containing the fitting (such as CLGAM and OI_PROTON, see example in section 3.2 below);
- CLGAM: function that returns the Maxwellian averaged collision strengths for transitions - induced by collisions with electrons - involving the lowest five levels of C⁰ (based upon analytical fittings taken from the literature [12,13]),

- OI_PROTON: function that returns the deexcitation rates by collisions with protons for transitions involving the lowest three levels of O^0 (based upon analytical fittings taken from the literature [14]).

3.1.5 Other packages used by PopRatio

PopRatio uses several routines from the BLAS [15], LAPACK [16] and PPPACK [17] libraries. The routines needed are distributed together with PopRatio in case the user does not have them installed in his/her system.

We use the Fortran 90 interface to the LAPACK routines described by Dongarra et al. [18]. To call the PPPACK routines we have written a new module - SPLINE - containing Fortran 90 interfaces to the routines and a new function that calls them: SPLINE3. The PPPACK routines were slightly modified in order to support both real and double precision arguments.

3.2 The atomic data input file

The user must supply all necessary atomic data used by PopRatio in a separate input file, that will be read by INITPOPRATIO. We provide input files containing atomic data pertaining five atoms/ions of interest in fine structure absorption line diagnosis of QSO absorbers: C^0 , C^+ , Si^+ , O^0 and Fe^+ . Although in these files we list all the references in the literature where the atomic data were taken, we do not discuss the particular models adopted here, since this will be the subject of a forthcoming paper (Silva and Viegas, in preparation).

Next we describe the general syntax of the input file. As an example we take the file OI.dat, that contains the atomic data of neutral oxygen.

The atomic data information is distributed along several blocks, each one starting with the "#" character. The user is free to insert any comments before this symbol. The first block:

```
#
OI
```

is the name of the atom/ion being considered. This string is stored in the global variable SPECIES_NAME. It may be up to 10 characters long. The next blocks of data are:

```
#
3
```

this is the number of levels that belong to the ground term. In atomic oxygen these levels are $^3P_{2,1,0}$. PopRatio will take into account fluorescence for transitions among these levels. This value is stored in the global variable NGROUND.

```
#
5
```

this is the maximum number of levels that may be taken into account. The user must make sure to enter enough data to allow PopRatio to consider this number of levels. If INITPOPRATIO is called with a value for NBLEVELS higher than this, a warning message will be issued.

```
#
0.0      5   1   2s2 2p4 3P2
158.265  3   2   2s2 2p4 3P1
226.977  1   3   2s2 2p4 3P0
15867.862 5   4   2s2 2p4 1D2
33792.583 1   5   2s2 2p4 1S0
```

these are the values for the energies and statistical weights for the levels. The first column is the energy relative to the ground level (in cm^{-1}), and the second is the statistical weight for the level. The last two columns numbering and naming the levels are not read by INITPOPRATIO, they are just entered for user reference. The energies must be sorted in ascending order. The energies and statistical weights are stored in global variables E(i) and G(i), respectively.

```
#
9
1  2  8.865E-5
1  3  1.275E-10
2  3  1.772E-5
1  4  6.535E-3
2  4  2.111E-3
3  4  6.388E-7
1  5  2.945E-4
2  5  7.909E-2
4  5  1.124E0
```

This block contains the transition probabilities. The number at the beginning is the number of transitions listed. The first column is the lower level index j for the transition, next are the higher level index i and the transition probability A_{ij} (in s^{-1}). The transition probabilities are stored in the global variable A(i,j). As the transition probabilities are read, INITPOPRATIO automatically sets the Einstein coefficients by eq. (3) and stores them in the global variable B(i,j). There is no need to enter null transition probabilities, since transition

probabilities not entered are assumed to be zero.

```
#
135
76794.798 3 1 3.30E+08
76794.798 3 2 1.97E+08
76794.798 3 3 6.54E+07
96225.049 3 1 9.31E+07
96225.049 3 2 5.56E+07
96225.049 3 3 1.85E+07
97488.378 3 1 1.99E+06
97488.378 3 2 2.97E+07
97488.378 3 3 3.95E+07
97488.448 5 1 1.79E+07
97488.448 5 2 5.35E+07
```

.....

These are the transitions involving the μ higher-lying levels of section 2.2 and the NGROUND ground term levels. The number at the beginning is the number of transitions listed. The first column is the energy relative to the ground level (in cm^{-1}) of the higher-lying level, the second is its statistical weight, the third is the lower-lying level index ($\leq \text{NGROUND}$) for the transition and the fourth is the transition probability (in s^{-1}). The energy levels must be entered in ascending order. If some higher-lying level was already loaded in by INITPOPRATIO in the fourth block (and therefore will be explicitly included in the system of statistical equilibrium equations), then it will not be taken into account when POPULATIONRATIO calculates the indirect excitation rates, $\text{GAM}(i,j)$. However its contribution will be added in $\text{TOTGAM}(i,j)$, in case the user wishes to assess it.

```
#
4
```

This is the number of collision partners. This value is stored in the global variable NPARTNERS and is the dimension of the collisional data type vector $\text{CD}(i)$.

Next we have one block for each one of the collisional partners:

```
#
electron
5
10
3 7
      50.      100.      200.      500.      1000.      2000.      3000.
2  1  8.34E-04  1.26E-03  1.79E-03  2.58E-03  3.35E-03  4.61E-03  5.92E-03
```

3	1	3.23E-04	5.00E-04	7.34E-04	1.12E-03	1.49E-03	2.08E-03	2.64E-03
3	2	2.74E-07	8.92E-07	2.78E-06	1.05E-05	2.38E-05	4.62E-05	6.98E-05

.....

The first line is the collisional partner name, with a maximum of 10 characters long. This string is stored in the global variable `CD(i)%PARTNER_NAME`. The second line is the index of the highest-lying level that may be populated by collisions with this partner; it is stored in `CD(i)%MAXLEVEL`. The third line is the total number of transitions listed below; it is stored in `CD(i)%NINTERPOL`. For each transition we have a table of points containing the collision rates (or Maxwellian-averaged collision strengths, if the partner name is 'ELECTRON') as a function of the kinetic temperature. `PopRatio` interpolates this table of points by a cubic-spline in log-log space (linear-log, in case the partner name is 'ELECTRON'). `PopRatio` also uses eq. (6) to calculate the inverse process rate.

In the next line we have the partial number of transitions that follows and the number of data points entered in the tables. The next line lists the temperature values (in K) for the transitions that follows. Then we have the collision rates (in cm^3s^{-1} , or Maxwellian-averaged collision strengths), the first two values entered giving the i and j indexes for the transitions ($i \rightarrow j$). If the partner name is electron then the order of these indexes are irrelevant, otherwise i must come first. This is repeated until the sum of the partial number of transitions equals the total number of transitions listed. This is to allow greater flexibility, since rates for the various transitions might be taken from different sources in the literature and therefore have been calculated in different temperature values.

```
#
proton
3
3
3 2
      1.E30      1.E30
2  1  1.E-30      1.E-30
3  1  1.E-30      1.E-30
3  2  1.E-30      1.E-30
```

This is an example of how the user can implement an analytical fitting. The transitions induced by collisions with protons are entered, but with an unphysical temperature range. Since the temperature passed to `POPULATION-RATIO` will always lie outside this range, it will call `RATE_EXTRAPOL` that is then used to call `OLPROTON`, containing the analytical fittings.

The last two blocks in `OI.dat` give the collision rates for transitions induced

by collisions with neutral hydrogen and neutral helium.

3.3 Installing and running PopRatio

3.3.1 Setting the accuracy of floating point operations

The user must set the accuracy of the floating point calculations by editing the WP kind parameter in module PRECISION (see section 3.1.1).

There are only two options available, corresponding to the single/double precision Fortran 77's data types. This restriction applies because PopRatio uses F77 routines from the BLAS, LAPACK and PPPACK libraries. The Fortran 90 interfaces to these routines will automatically call the correct routines suitable to the selected precision.

The default precision is set to correspond to the **double precision** Fortran 77 data type.

3.3.2 Setting the radiation field

The user must supply the radiation field he/she is interested in by editing the body of function URAD in module POPRATIO.

For example, in order to include the FGAL and FUVB radiation fields defined in module FIELDINTENSITIES the user should change the body of this function to:

```
urad = Fgal(lambda)
if (z/=-1.0_WP) then
  urad = urad + Fuvb(lambda,z,3)
end if
```

If the user does not intend to use any of the radiation fields defined in module FIELDINTENSITIES then it does not need to be included in the project, and the corresponding **use** FieldIntensities statement may be deleted from module POPRATIO.

The default is a null radiation field:

```
urad = 0.0_WP ;
```

3.3.3 Writing the main program

A minimal main program should include an **open** statement specifying the atomic data input file, a **call** InitPopRatio statement loading in a model for the atom/ion being considered and a **call** FinishPopRatio statement to deallocate the dynamical variables, freeing up RAM memory.

Typically between the calls to INITPOPRATIO and FINISHPOPRATIO we will have several calls to POPULATIONRATIO under different physical conditions. After each call to POPULATIONRATIO the rates for all the processes involved and the population ratios will be accessible via the global variables given in table 1.

The calculation will proceed faster in case the physical conditions are not very different from the physical conditions in last call to POPULATIONRATIO. If the same value for the kinetic temperature T is passed in two successive calls to POPULATIONRATIO, then the collision rates $CD(i)\%q(i,j)$ will not need to be re-computed. Similarly, if we have the same values for Z , $BETA$ and F parameters, the radiation field energy densities $U(i,j)$ and the indirect excitation rates $GAM(i,j)$ will remain unchanged.

Finally, a note of caution: if the user is interested in the collision rate q_{ij} by a given collisional partner, then he/she should not call POPULATIONRATIO with its density set to zero, since the collision rates will not be calculated in this case.

In the next section we give some examples of main programs to tackle common applications.

4 Applications and examples of using PopRatio

4.1 Testcase #1

In this example we calculate the population ratios of the 3P_J ground levels of atomic carbon as a function of neutral hydrogen density. These population ratios are a useful density estimator [19] and are needed to interpret ratios of UV C I fine structure absorption lines observed in damped Lyman- α QSO absorbers [20–22], for example.

We take into account collisions with several collisional partners - electron, proton, neutral hydrogen, para/ortho molecular hydrogen, neutral helium -, tying their densities to the neutral hydrogen density n_{H^0} according to:

$$\begin{aligned}
n_e &= 10^{-4} n_{H^0} \\
n_p &= 0 \\
n_{p-H_2} &= \frac{1}{4} n_{H^0} \\
n_{o-H_2} &= \frac{3}{4} n_{H^0} \\
n_{He^0} &= \frac{1}{10} n_{H^0} .
\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

We also take into account the effect of CMBR at a redshift $z = 1$ and the radiation field of the Galaxy.

In the high density limit, function LTEDEV is called to show that the population ratios differ from LTE conditions by less than 4% .

To run this testcase the user should include the radiation field of the Galaxy in the body of function URAD in module POPRATIO:

```
urad = Fgal(lambda)
```

4.2 Testcase #2

Now we want to determine the neutral hydrogen density from the population ratio (deduced from the observed column density ratios of UV lines in the spectrum) assuming the same physical conditions as in the previous example.

This example exploits the fact that the diagnosis curve traced in the first example is a monotonically increasing function of density.

To run this testcase the user should include the radiation field of the Galaxy in the body of function URAD in module POPRATIO:

```
urad = Fgal(lambda)
```

4.3 Testcase #3

This example reads in the neutral hydrogen density determined in the previous example and, assuming the same physical conditions as above, determines the rates for all the processes involved in order to access what are the most important excitation mechanisms.

The results show that the most important excitation mechanism are collisions

by neutral hydrogen, with the CMBR making a significant contribution for the $^3\text{P}_1$ level excitation.

To run this testcase the user should include the radiation field of the Galaxy in the body of function URAD in module POPRATIO:

```
urad = Fgal(lambda)
```

4.4 Testcase #4

We now illustrate how to use PopRatio to calculate collisionally excited emission line intensity ratios.

As an example we take the UV intercombination multiplet $2s2p^2\ ^4\text{P} \rightarrow 2s^22p\ ^2\text{P}^o$ of C II at 2325 Å. Intensities ratios of lines belonging to this multiplet may serve as a useful indicator of electronic densities in the range $10^7 \leq n_e \leq 10^{10} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ [23,24]. These lines have been observed in the spectra of a variety of astronomical objects: planetary nebulae [25], giant stars [26,27], symbiotic stars [28] and in the solar chromosphere and transition region [29].

The emissivity of a line is given by [4]:

$$\epsilon(\lambda_{ij}) = n_i A_{ij} h\nu_{ij} . \quad (23)$$

We calculate the following emissivity ratios as a function of electronic density:

$$\begin{aligned} R_1 &= \frac{\epsilon\left(^4\text{P}_{5/2} \rightarrow ^2\text{P}_{3/2}^o\right)}{\epsilon\left(^4\text{P}_{1/2} \rightarrow ^2\text{P}_{3/2}^o\right)} \\ R_2 &= \frac{\epsilon\left(^4\text{P}_{5/2} \rightarrow ^2\text{P}_{3/2}^o\right)}{\epsilon\left(^4\text{P}_{3/2} \rightarrow ^2\text{P}_{3/2}^o\right)} \\ R_3 &= \frac{\epsilon\left(^4\text{P}_{1/2} \rightarrow ^2\text{P}_{1/2}^o\right)}{\epsilon\left(^4\text{P}_{3/2} \rightarrow ^2\text{P}_{3/2}^o\right)} . \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

We take into account collisions by electrons and protons and fluorescence induced by a black body radiation field of temperature $T_* = 4000\text{K}$ attenuated by a geometric dilution factor $f = 0.5$ (which might be representative of a stellar chromosphere).

In the high density limit function LTEDEV is called to show that the level populations differ from LTE conditions by less than 0.4%.

To run this testcase the user must include the black body radiation field in the body of function URAD in module POPRATIO:

```
urad = Planck(4.0E3-WP,c/lambda*1.0E8-WP)
```

4.5 Testcase #5

In this example we illustrate how PopRatio may be used to calculate the cooling rate due to collisional excitation of low-lying levels of a given atom or ion.

As an example we take the ion Fe^+ , because iron is an astrophysically abundant element. The ion Fe^+ may be the dominating ionization stage in low ionization regions, and may be an important gas coolant [30].

The cooling rate is given by [4]:

$$L(T) = \sum_{i=2}^{i_{\max}} \sum_{j<i} n_i A_{ij} h\nu_{ij} . \quad (25)$$

Rewriting this in terms of the total Fe^+ density $n_{\text{Fe}^+} = \sum_i n_i$ and the population ratios $X_i = \frac{n_{i+1}}{n_1}$:

$$\frac{L(T)}{n_{\text{Fe}^+}} = \left(1 + \sum_i X_i\right)^{-1} \sum_{i=2}^{i_{\max}} \sum_{j<i} X_{i-1} A_{ij} (E_i - E_j) hc , \quad (26)$$

with the energies E_i expressed in cm^{-1} . The Fe^+ density will depend on its fractional abundance and on the iron elemental abundance:

$$n_{\text{Fe}^+} = \frac{n_{\text{Fe}^+}}{n_{\text{Fe}}} \frac{n_{\text{Fe}}}{n_H} n_H \quad (27)$$

Here we calculate the *cooling function*, defined as the right hand side of eq. (26).

Since Fe^+ has a complicated electronic structure, several levels must be taken into account in the calculation. We employ a 16-level model ion, allowing us to calculate the cooling function for electronic densities as high as 10^4 cm^{-3} .

To run this testcase the user does not need to modify function URAD, since no fluorescence transitions are loaded in.

5 Concluding remarks

We have described a program to calculate atomic level population ratios. The code may find a wide range of applicability in astronomical problems, as we have illustrated in the testcases.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank F. Haardt for providing us an electronic version of his UVB field. A.I.S. acknowledges financial support by the Brazilian agency FAPESP, under contract No. 99/05203-8. This work is partially supported by CNPq (304077/77-1) and PRONEX/FINEP (41.96.0908.00).

References

- [1] J.N. Bahcall, R.A. Wolf, *ApJ* **152** (1968) 701.
- [2] A.G. Smeding, S.R. Pottasch, *A&AS* **35** (1979) 257.
- [3] G.B. Rybicki, A.P. Lightman, *Radiative processes in astrophysics* (John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1979).
- [4] D.E. Osterbrock, *Astrophysics of gaseous nebulae and active galactic nuclei* (University Science Books, Mill Valley, CA, 1989).
- [5] P.M. Gondhalekar, A.P. Phillips, R. Wilson, *A&A* **85** (1980) 272.
- [6] P. Madau, F. Haardt, M.J. Rees, *ApJ* **514** (1999) 648.
- [7] S.M. Viegas, A.C.S. Friaça, *MNRAS* **272** (1995) L35.
- [8] E.W. Kolb, M.S. Turner, *The early universe* (Addison-Wesley, New York, 1990).
- [9] D.J. Fixsen, E.S. Cheng, J.M. Gales, J.C. Mather, R.A. Shafer, E.L. Wright, *ApJ* **473** (1996) 576.
- [10] G.F. Smoot, D. Scott, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **3** (1998) 127.
- [11] J.A.S. Lima, A.I. Silva, S.M. Viegas, *MNRAS* **312** (2000) 747.
- [12] C.T. Johnson, P.G. Burke, A.E. Kingston, *J. Phys. B* **20** (1987) 2553.
- [13] D. Péquignot, S.M.V. Aldrovandi, *A&A* **50** (1976) 141.
- [14] D. Péquignot, *A&A* **231** (1990) 499;
D. Péquignot, *A&A* **313** (1996) 1026 (erratum).

- [15] J.J. Dongarra, J. Du Croz, S. Hammarling, R.J. Hanson, ACM Trans. Math. Software **14** (1988) 1;
J. Dongarra, J. Du Croz, I. Duff, S. Hammarling, ACM Trans. Math. Software **16** (1990) 1.
- [16] E. Anderson, Z. Bai, C. Bischof, S. Blackford, J. Demmel, J. Dongarra, J. Du Croz, A. Greenbaum, S. Hammarling, A. McKenney, D. Sorensen, *LAPACK user's guide, third edition* (SIAM, Philadelphia, PA, 1999).
- [17] C. De Boor, *A practical guide to splines* (Springer Verlag, Berlin/Heidelberg, 1978).
- [18] J.J. Dongarra, J. Du Croz, S. Hammarling, J. Wasniewski, A. Zemla, *LAPACK working note 101: a proposal for a Fortran 90 interface for LAPACK*.
- [19] F.P. Keenan, ApJ **339** (1989) 591.
- [20] A. Songaila, L.L. Cowie, S. Vogt, M. Keane, A.M. Wolfe, E.M. Hu, A.L. Oren, D.R. Tytler, K.M. Lanzetta, Nature **371** (1994) 43.
- [21] J. Ge, J. Bechtold, J.H. Black, ApJ **474** (1997) 67.
- [22] K.C. Roth, J.M. Bauer, ApJ **515** (1999) L57.
- [23] M.A. Hayes, H. Nussbaumer, A&A **134** (1984) 193;
M.A. Hayes, H. Nussbaumer, A&A **139** (1984) 233.
- [24] D.J. Lennon, P.L. Dufton, A. Hibbert, A.E. Kingston, ApJ **294** (1985) 200.
- [25] R.E. Stencel, J.L. Linsky, A. Brown, C. Jordan, K.G. Carpenter, R.F. Wing, S. Czyzak, MNRAS **196** (1981) 47p.
- [26] P.G. Judge, MNRAS **221** (1986) 119;
P.G. Judge, MNRAS **223** (1986) 239.
- [27] K.G. Carpenter, R.D. Robinson, G.M. Wahlgren, T.B. Ake, D.C. Ebbets, J.L. Linsky, A. Brown, F.M. Walter, ApJ **377** (1991) L45.
- [28] M.A. Hayes, H. Nussbaumer, A&A **161** (1986) 287.
- [29] G.A. Doschek, U. Feldman, L. Cohen, ApJS **33** (1977) 101.
- [30] H. Nussbaumer, P.J. Storey, A&A **193** (1988) 327.